
Regulatory/Monitoring Work Group – August 17, 2018

In Attendance:

Voting Members

No attendance info available

Non-Voting Members

Nick Czarnecki

Facilitation Team

Brian Rogers

Work Group Discussion

Monitoring

- Need funding for monitoring
- Have good data for point sources
- What is the accuracy of sniffer data at low temperatures? Older technology was a problem, but sniffers are now calibrated; we need an education component to the public that doesn't trust the source

Further study

- Recommend better study for SO_s; study of chemistry of secondary formation being done in the next 2 years

Information to wood burners

- Real estate transactions: buyer should be provided information on wood stove devices, efficiency, and wood drying at the time of purchase
- What about a neighborhood watch-type neighbor to neighbor communication of air quality issues? Best would be community-based action; registration would provide information. We could organize by existing community levels – road service areas, fire service areas, water service area
- We need an advocacy group with volunteers to help people who are emitting

Control measures

- Measure 16, providing for a drop-dead date for all non-qualified devices to be out of service should take several years, using targeted airshed money as a carrot with the Measure 16 stick. WSCOP and Weatherization take some of the sting out of a mandate
- There should be an energy efficiency focus on the hot spot zones, with a real incentive to encourage enough people to make a change
- WSCOP run by a utility offset could be a better target – using a non-profit agency like Interior Weatherization or a profit-making entity targeting outdoor hydronic heaters
- Registration fees could be used to target WSCOP
- The new legislation allowing on-bill financing for residential property provides a new opportunity to pay for stove change-out